

10/90

At home, we've had decades of moral decay, economic foolishness, political chicanery, and failed welfarism. These problems cannot be eliminated through war. War will only make them worse, for it is--as libertarian Randolph Bourne said before World War I--"the health of the state." And the health of the state is the sickness of the people.

Gary Allen Was Right

Gary Allen was a gentle giant of liberty, a friend and colleague, and the author of None Dare Call it Conspiracy (1971).

From his extensive research into the activities of the people he named Insiders (CFR, Trilateral Commission, etc.), he made startling accusations about the direction our world was taking. He thought it was becoming socialist. Not the common ownership of the means of production. He called that communism. What he meant was a conspiracy by the power elite to control the political and economic life of the country, to their own profit, through big government.

"The idea that socialism is a share-the-wealth program is strictly a confidence game to get the people to surrender their freedom to an all-powerful collectivist government," he wrote. "We are being socialized in America, and everybody knows it."

"If one understands that socialism is not a share-the-wealth program, but a method to consolidate and control the wealth, then the seeming paradox of superrich men promoting socialism becomes no paradox at all. Instead it becomes the logical, even the perfect tool of power-seeking megalomaniacs."

He died in 1987, so he wasn't able to witness Trilateralist Bush and Soviet President Gorbachev jointly calling for a "New World Order." But he knew it would happen. Here's what he wrote 19 years ago:

"If you and your clique wanted control over the United States, it would be impossible to take over every city hall, country seat, and state house. You would want all power vested at the apex of the executive branch of the federal government; then you would have only to control one man to control the whole shebang. If you wanted to control the nation's manufacturing, commerce, finance, transportation, and natural resources, you would need only to control the apex, the power pinnacle, of an all-powerful socialist government. You would have a monopoly and could squeeze out all your competitors.

"If you wanted a national monopoly, you must control a national socialist government. If you want a worldwide monopoly, you must control a world socialist government. This is what the game is all about... a movement created, manipulated, and used by power-seeking billionaires to gain control over the

world...first by establishing socialist governments in the various nations and then consolidating them all through a 'Great Merger,' into an all-powerful world socialist super-state probably under the auspices of the United Nations."

In 1986, in his last book before an early death, Gary urged us to Say No to the New World Order. He was a great man, and the prophet of our age. Gary, we miss you.

King City?

A mob of black demonstrators, led by the "Rev." Al Sharpton, occupied and closed the Statue of Liberty recently, demanding that New York be renamed Martin Luther King City "to reclaim it for our people."

Hmmm. I hate to agree with the Rev. Al, but maybe a name change is in order. Welfaria? Zooville? Rapetown? Dirtburg? Lazyopolis?

But Al, the Statue of Liberty? Next time, hold that demonstration at a food stamp bureau or a crack house.

Hate Crime?

Friends of big government, like HUD secretary Jack Kemp, can get away with things that would destroy an anti-establishment figure. Jim Watt described an affirmative-action committee as having "a Jew, two blacks, and a cripple," and he was--zoom--gone. But Kemp, pulling his car into a handicapped parking space in front of a Southern California newspaper, can say to an editor, "I'm handicapped--I work for the government" without being arrested by the Sensitivity Police.

Family Values on Pennsylvania Avenue

Doug Wead, assistant to the president for conservative liaison, was fired when he protested the White House invitation to gay leaders--the first in history--to watch the signing of the infamous Hate Crimes bill. Rumor has it that Wead also got in trouble for protesting, according to the Washington Times, an all-powerful "homosexual troika at the White House."

Caring for the AIDS Patient

The government tells us that AIDS cannot be transmitted "casually." The government also tells us that it should raise our taxes, and risk our sons for Saudi Arabia.

Recently, a non-government physician writing in Gene Antonio's Healthwatch Report (P.O. Box 90140-264, Arlington, TX

76004, \$25 a year), gave some excellent advice "To Those Caring for the AIDS Patient."

Among his recommendations: 1) Wear rubber gloves when handling the clothes, dishes, etc. of the patient. Wear a surgical mask if he is coughing or wheezing. 2) Use paper plates and cups and burn them afterwards. 3) Boil all his laundry for at least 15 minutes. 4) Pour a half cup of Clorox in his bath water before draining it. 5) Always wipe the toilet seat with a soapy paper towel. Do not put the towel in the toilet, but in a paper bag to be burned. 6) No kissing, since AIDS can be transmitted by saliva. 7) No sexual relations, since condoms are far from foolproof. 8) Do not allow the patient to eat in a restaurant. 9) Do not allow the patient to drive or operate machinery due to mental impairment.

How often are these sorts of commonsense precautions taken? Not often, because they violate the government propaganda line, and would be considered a hate crime. But they give us an idea of how we should actually proceed, instead of having tax-funded programs to promote the conduct that causes AIDS.

Marion Barry and Jury Nullification

I have mixed feelings about the verdict in Mayor Marion Barry's case, in which he got off virtually scot free. Although I support the repeal of drug prohibition, my heart doesn't bleed when politicians are prosecuted for doing what they jail other people for.

(Two other notes about the drug-war aspects of this case: why, exactly, should it be illegal for an adult to smoke even a dangerous substance in private? And since William Bennett and other prohibitionists have argued that one puff of crack turns someone into a raving maniac, how do they explain Barry's years-long use of crack, during which he continued to function--as well as he ever did--in a very public position? The stuff is, of course, dangerous, like all forms of cocaine. But government disinformation about it--similar to the scare stories about marijuana in the 1930s--only undermine the work of those of us who try to keep young people from experimenting with these dreadful substances.)

None of the national news stories I saw mentioned the role of race in the jury's decision, yet the jury split on racial lines, with black jurors flatly refusing to convict a black man on more than one minor charge. This is, by the way, a common occurrence in the big cities. Not too long ago, a black woman juror in D.C. said she hoped God would forgive her for her role in putting a black murderer back on the streets. The forewoman had argued that there were already too many young black men in prison, and they should not add to the total, no matter what the evidence.

I also wasn't too sympathetic when Barry used the verdict to

churn up more anti-white racism. "Let's do a victory dance," barked one minister, as a sea of fists gave the Communist/black power salute. The congregation shouted anti-white slogans and Barry warned of the white race's "Satanic designs on African Americans."

The trial itself was something to behold. Minister Louis Farakhan, Jesse Jackson, and Archbishop George Stallings attended; there were constant anti-white demonstrations outside the courthouse; and the local black media portrayed the trial as a white plot to attack black officials. And now Barry will run for the D.C. City Council to insure that he collects a giant federal pension for his "public service."

How, you might ask, could I have mixed feelings about this corrupt and incompetent clown getting off, despite my anti-drug war beliefs?

The reason is that this was a case of jury nullification: regardless of the law, the evidence, or the judge's instructions, the jury refused to convict. According to ancient law and tradition, the jury may decide all questions for itself. Although--like our Bill of Rights guarantees--this can be used to get a bad man off, it is vitally important to our liberties.

Judges refuse to allow a defendant's lawyers to tell the jury of its powers, so the more publicity the better. Already, juries in the West have acquitted tax rebels on this basis, and this safeguard will become more important as the government grows more tyrannical, and increases its attacks on citizens for actions which cannot be crimes by any moral standard, but which still violate evil laws.

The jury may reject an immoral law, and refuse to convict. It need not be swayed by the machinations of the judges, prosecutors and other government employees.

Barry's lawyer discussed jury nullification in a press conference, and the Washington Post reported extensively on it. This was the first time I saw an Establishment medium talk about this important common-law right.

"Why I Fear Other Black Males"

Essex Hemphill, a black writer in Philadelphia, recently wrote a column entitled "Why I Fear Other Black Males."

He tells the story of his mugging in Washington, D.C., last summer while heading to the store--with \$13 in his pocket--for a pint of vanilla ice cream. Suddenly "a cocked gun was placed to my head. I had enough sense to remain calm and passive. I didn't want to die for \$13.

"The robbers were in their late teens. One pressed me